

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,918	07/23/2003	Ming Wei	DP-309150	9472	
Jimmy L. Funk	7590 10/18/2007		EXAM	INER	
Delphi Technologies, Inc.			JOHNSON, EDWARD M		
M/C 480-410-2 P.O. Box 5052	- <del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Troy, MI 4800	7		1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	10/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/625,918	WEI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Edward M. Johnson	1754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 At</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters,				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-18 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date			

Ţ

Art Unit: 1754

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. US 6,217,831.

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Suzuki '831 discloses method of making an exhaust purifying catalyst comprising applying and calcining a first and second catalyst to a first and second portion of the substrate (abstract and columns 5-6).

Suzuki fails to disclose applying both and then calcining the substrate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply both the first and second catalysts and then calcine together because Suzuki discloses arranging the zones together without space in between (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 11-16), which would suggest to an

Application/Control Number: 10/625,918 Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

ordinarily skilled artisan either a single substrate that may be calcined together or separately and subsequently arranged.

Regarding claims 2-7 and 11-18, Suzuki discloses an arrangement of Pt followed by Ba followed by Pt/Rh (column 5, lines 5-18), wherein the first catalyst comprises 2.0 Pt per liter of support, the second catalyst comprises 0.3 mol per liter of support, and the third catalyst comprises 2.0 grams with respect to 1 liter of support. And it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to optimize the concentrations of each through routine experimentation.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not disclose or suggest differing the first or second catalyst by at least 10% between the two catalyst compositions in the method for making a treatment element for an exhaust control device of the instant claim 8.

### Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 1754

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/2/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued that moreover, Applicants' method... of "a single substrate." This is not persuasive because the limitation was not ignored, as Applicant asserts. Rather, Suzuki '831 discloses method of making an exhaust purifying catalyst comprising applying and calcining a first and second catalyst to a first and second portion of the substrate. Fig. 1, for example, discloses a "single substrate" having three catalyst portions.

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1754

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199

Art Unit: 1754

(IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Edward M. Johnson

Page 6

Edward M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754

EMJ